



CALIFORNIA STATE PERSONNEL BOARD MEETING

801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California

<u>Public Session Location</u> – 801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California, Room 150 Teleconference – 320 West 4th Street Los Angeles, California, Suite 620

<u>Closed Session Location</u> – 801 Capitol Mall Sacramento, California, Room 141 Teleconference – 320 West 4th Street Los Angeles, California Suite 620

SUMMARY MINUTES – APRIL 4, 2006

SUMMARY MINUTES¹

APRIL 4, 2006

PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

1. ROLL CALL

William Elkins, President Sean Harrigan, Vice President Maeley Tom, Member Anne Sheehan, Member Patricia Clarey, Member

2. REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER – Floyd D. Shimomura

- A. On March 1, 2006, the SPB staff conducted a workshop at the Asian Pacific Islander Legislative Summit. The workshop covered the following topics: AB 124 (recent EEO legislation), the SPB's Bilingual Services Program, the SPB's EEO program, and how to interview for a state job or promotion.
- **B.** The SPB's proposed \$18 million budget is being reviewed by committees of the Senate and Assembly. In the Assembly, questions were raised about the "late" approval of the FSR relating to the proposed joint SPB/DPA website and the propriety of going forward with the study on classification reform in the DPA budget.
- C. The Staff Services Manager exam at Caltrans is being pulled back by the department after a review by the SPB indicated numerous problems. The formal Board Item canceling the exam and list generated from there will be coming to the Board in the near future.

3. REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION (DPA) - DPA Representatives

Bill Avritt and Daryll Tsujihara gave brief reports on recent activities of the Department of Personnel Administration.

4. REPORT OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM (PERS) - Maeley Tom

Member Maeley Tom gave a brief report on recent activities of the Public Employees Retirement System.

¹ The Minutes for the Board can be obtained at the following internet address: http://www.spb.ca.gov/calendar.htm

5. REPORT OF THE CHIEF COUNSEL - Elise Rose

LITIGATION:

<u>Turner v. SPB</u>—writ of mandate denied by superior court. The court found that specific determinations challenged by petitioner were supported by the evidence and the ALJ's credibility determinations. The court also specifically found that the discipline imposed, dismissal, was supported by the findings, including the finding of prior disciplinary actions against petitioner.

Plata v. State of California -

- SPB Chief Counsel attended a meeting regarding contracting between CDCR and private contractors chaired by the special master. The primary issue was how the state was going to pay \$58 million dollars in unpaid invoices of various health care contractors. The issues discussed did not impact SPB, but SPB Chief Counsel spoke with special master to make sure SPB is on any mailing lists regarding meetings pertaining to personnel issues and the February 14, 2006 order.
- SPB, as well as other control agencies, have been asked to identify specific state laws, regulations, and rules that we believe will potentially cause an impediment to the Receiver's mission and to provide suggested language for the courts consideration that will remove each identified impediment.

OTHER:

As a result of the recent publication by California AWARE regarding their findings regarding compliance with various state agencies with the Public Records Act, California Public Information Officers and Chief Counsels were mandated to attend a seminar regarding Public Records Act put on by the Governor's Office and the Office of the Attorney General. Sherry Hicks and Elise Rose attended the seminar. The Governor issued an Executive Order requiring all departments that report to the Governor to issue policies regarding compliance with the Public Records Act, to train people who are responsible for responding to Public Records Act requests, and to certify their having done so.

6. NEW BUSINESS

NONE PRESENTED

7. REPORT ON LEGISLATION – Sherry Hicks

NONE PRESENTED

8. ORAL ARGUMENT

Oral argument in the matter of **RICK OCHOA, CASE NO. 04-2373B** Appeal for determination of back salary, benefits and interest. Youth Correctional Officer. Department of the Youth Authority.

Persons Participating:

Edmund Brehl, Department of Personnel Administration Labor Relations Counsel, representing respondent

Rick Ochoa, appellant, representing himself

ACTION: SUBMITTED

9. INFORMATIONAL BRIEFING – CPS HUMAN RESOURCE SERVICES

- Jerry Greenwell, Chief Executive Officer, CPS Human Resource Services

Jerry Greenwell gave a brief report on recent activities of CPS.

10. NEW EMPLOYEE ORIENTATION

Maria Flores, State Personnel Board

The State Personnel Board's new employees were introduced.

CLOSED SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

11. EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENTS, DISCIPLINARY MATTERS, AND OTHER APPEALS

Deliberations to consider matter submitted at prior hearing. [Government Code Sections 11126(d), 18653.]

12. DELIBERATION ON ADVERSE ACTIONS, DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS, AND OTHER PROPOSED DECISIONS SUBMITTED BY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

Deliberations on matters submitted at prior hearing; on proposed, rejected, remanded, and submitted decisions; petitions for rehearing; and other matters related to cases heard by administrative law judges of the State Personnel Board or by the Board itself. [Government Code Sections 11126 (d), and 18653 (2).] (See Action Taken on Pages 10–16)

13. PENDING LITIGATION

Conference with legal counsel to confer with and receive advice regarding pending litigation when discussion in open session would be prejudicial. [Government Code sections 11126(e)(1) and 18653.]

NONE

14. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE LEGISLATURE

Deliberations on recommendations to the legislature. [Government Code section 18653.]

AB 2428 (CANCIAMILLA)

This bill would authorize public bodies to require speakers who address the body on behalf of a group to disclose the number of members in the group, the top 3 sources of funding for the group, and the location of the group's headquarters or where the group is based.

ACTION: NO POSITION

SB 1636 (ACKERMAN)

Among other things, this bill would amend the Public Records Act (Government Code section 6250 *et seq.*) to provide that subpoenas duces tecum for public records in judicial or administrative proceedings in which the public agency in possession of the records in not a party to the action, shall be deemed a request for records under the Public Records Act and shall be processed accordingly.

The bill would require the custodian of records for the public agency to notify the judicial or administrative agency that the public agency will not produce the records in accordance with the subpoena, but instead will only produce those records in accordance with the requirements of the Public Records Act. Those provisions of the bill will have a direct impact on the State Personnel Board.

ACTION: OPPOSE UNLESS AMENDED

SB 1745 (KUEHL)

Among other things, this bill would amend the Fair Employment and Housing Act to include as a protected class persons who possess status as a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault or stalking.

ACTION: NO POSITION

• SB 1832 (KEHOE)

This bill would amend the Public Records Act (Government Code section 6250 *et seq.*) to require state and local agencies to waive those fees that must currently be charged when the state or local agency produces documents to a member of the public pursuant to a Public Records Act request. The bill specifies those factors the state or local agency must take into account when determining whether a fee waiver is appropriate.

ACTION: OPPOSE

15. RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GOVERNOR

Deliberations on recommendations to the Governor. [Government Code section 18653.]

NO ACTION

PUBLIC SESSION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD

16. DISCUSSION OF COMING BOARD MEETING SCHEDULE OF APRIL 18, 2006, IN SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

NONE

BOARD ACTIONS:

17. ADOPTION OF THE STATE PERSONNEL BOARD SUMMARY MINUTES OF MARCH 8, 2006

ACTION: ADOPTED

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey – Aye

18. EVIDENTIARY CASES

ACTION: See Case Listings on Pages 10–18

- 19. RESOLUTION EXTENDING TIME UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 EXTENSION (See Minutes on Pages 24–25)
- 20. NON-EVIDENTIARY CASES

ACTION: See Case Listings on Pages 18–21

21. NON-HEARING CALENDAR

The following proposals were made to the State Personnel Board by either the Board staff or Department of Personnel Administration staff.

On April 4, 2006, the following proposals were presented to the Board by Laura M. Aguilera, Assistant Executive Officer, California State Personnel Board.

ACTION: ADOPTED

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey - Aye

A. BOARD ITEMS PRESENTED BY STATE PERSONNEL BOARD OR DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION TO ESTABLISH, REVISE OR ABOLISH CLASSIFICATIONS, ALTERNATE RANGE CRITERIA, ETC.

INVESTIGATIVE CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

The Department of Consumer Affairs, California Board of Accountancy proposes revisions to the class series of Investigative Certified Public Accountant including the following: addition of new tasks and duties created by reform legislation and the expanded regulation of the accounting industry; and revisions to the minimum qualifications.

B. ABOLISHMENT OF CLASSES THAT HAVE HAD NO INCUMBENTS FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS. DEPARTMENTS THAT UTILIZE THE CLASS AS WELL AS THE APPROPRIATE UNION HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE ABOLISHMENT OF THESE CLASSES.

THE DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION AND STATE PERSONNEL BOARD proposed to abolish the following unused classifications, which have been vacant for more than twenty-four months. Departments that utilized the class as well as the appropriate union had no objection to the abolishment of these classes.

Title	Class Code
Associate Boating Administrator	1102
Assistant Boating Administrator	1101
Boating Programs Trainee	1036
Reciprocity Officer, State Controllers Office	3291
Title Specialist III	5039
Business Equipment Analyst	5145
Associate Business Equipment Analyst	4165
Direct Construction Office Manager	4011
Supervising Land Agent (Managerial)	5005
Assistant Purchasing Manager	1932
Manager, Disabled Access Compliance Unit,	4007
Office of State Architect	

22. STAFF CALENDAR ITEMS FOR BOARD INFORMATION

BLANKETING IN PLACER FIRE PROTECTION STAFF

The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection's request that the Placer Consolidated Fire Protection District employees be transferred into State civil service was approved by staff.

ACTION: NOTED

23. CAREER EXECUTIVE ASSIGNMENT (CEA) CATEGORY ACTIVITY

This section of the Minutes served to inform interested individuals and departments of proposed and approved CEA position actions.

A. REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH NEW OR REVISE EXISTING CEA POSITIONS CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION

CHIEF, ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

The Department of Food and Agriculture proposes to re-allocate the existing CEA allocation titled Chief Administrative Officer to the above position. The Chief, Administrative Officer will be responsible for developing and implementing department-wide policies in the areas of purchasing, contracting, building security, budgeting, and telecommunications.

CHIEF, PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

The Department of Food and Agriculture proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Chief, Personnel Management will be responsible for developing and implementing department-wide policies, administering Department and county exam programs, developing leadership, mentoring, and succession planning programs for the Department and 52 District Agriculture Associations.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR, CUSTOMER DELIVERY DIVISION

The Department of Technology Services proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Director, Customer Delivery Division is responsible for the on-going marketing, promotion, analysis, management and oversight of technical products, and services to the Department of Technology Services customers.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR, POLICY AND PLANNING DIVISION

The Department of Technology Services proposes to allocate the above position to the CEA category. The Deputy Director, Policy and Planning Division will oversee the business planning activities necessary to accomplish strategic goals and objectives, enable better administration of departmental initiatives, and improve communications with all DTS stakeholders.

B. EXECUTIVE OFFICER DECISIONS REGARDING REQUESTS TO ESTABLISH NEW OR REVISE EXISTING CEA POSITIONS

CHIEF, POLICY, RESEARCH & FORECASTING BRANCH, LICENSING AND CERTIFICATION BRANCH

The Department of Health Services has withdrawn their proposal to allocate the above position to the CEA category effective March 6, 2006.

ASSISTANT CHIEF COUNSEL, OFFICE OF DIRECTOR, LEGAL UNIT, NORTHERN REGION ASSISTANT CHIEF COUNSEL, OFFICE OF DIRECTOR, LEGAL UNIT, LOS ANGELES

The Department of Industrial Relations proposal to allocate the above positions to the CEA category has been approved effective March 6, 2006.

ASSISTANT DEPUTY DIRECTOR, ENTERPRISE PLANNING AND SERVICES BRANCH

The Department of Motor Vehicles proposal to allocate the above position to the CEA category has been approved for a period of twenty-four months effective March 6, 2006.

CHIEF, OFFICE OF SELF INSURANCE PLANS

The Department of Industrial Relations proposal to allocate the above position to the CEA category has been approved for a period of twenty-four months effective March 6, 2006.

ACTION: NOTED

24. EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENTS, DISCIPLINARY MATTERS, & OTHER APPEALS

Deliberations to consider matter submitted at prior hearing. [Government Code sections 11126(d), 18653.]

- 25. WRITTEN STAFF REPORT FOR BOARD INFORMATION NONE
- 26. PRESENTATION OF EMERGENCY ITEMS AS NECESSARY NONE
- 27. BOARD ACTIONS ON SUBMITTED ITEMS

ACTION: See Minutes on Pages 22–23

WHAT FOLLOWS IS A RECORD OF ACTION TAKEN ON AGENDA ITEMS 18 – 20 AS NOTED.

18. EVIDENTIARY CASES

The Board Administrative Law Judges conduct evidentiary hearings in appeals that include, but are not limited to, adverse actions, medical terminations, demotions, discrimination, reasonable accommodations, and whistleblower complaints.

A. BOARD CASES SUBMITTED

These items have been taken under submission by the State Personnel Board at a prior meeting.

On April 4, 2006, the Board took the following action on the cases listed as presented by Elise Rose, Chief Counsel, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey - Aye

(1) RONALD FRANKLYN, CASE NO. 05-2105A

Appeal from 20 working days suspension

Classification: Officer

Department: California Highway Patrol

Proposed decision rejected December 20, 2005 Oral argument heard March 8, 2006, Sacramento Case ready for decision by FULL Board

ACTION: The Board issued a non-precedential decision modifying 20 working days' suspension to five working days' suspension and awarding back pay, benefits, and interest.

(2) ERNEST PITTMAN, CASE NO. 05-1591A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Motor Vehicle Field Representative

Department: Department of Motor Vehicles

Proposed decision rejected December 6, 2005 Oral argument heard March 8, 2006, Sacramento Case ready for decision by FULL Board

NO ACTION

(3) RICHARD QUADRELLI, CASE NO. 05-1039A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Caltrans Maintenance Supervisor

Department: Department of Transportation

Proposed decision rejected December 6, 2005 Oral argument heard March 8, 2006, Sacramento Case ready for decision by FULL Board

NO ACTION

B. CASES PENDING

ORAL ARGUMENTS

This case was on calendar to be argued at this meeting or to be considered by the Board in closed session based on written arguments submitted by the parties.

On April 4, 2006, the Board took the following action on the case listed as presented by Elise, Chief Counsel, California State Personnel Board.

RICK OCHOA, CASE NO. 04-2373BA

Appeal for determination of back salary, benefits and interest

Classification: Youth Correctional Officer

Department: Department of the Youth Authority

ACTION: SUBMITTED

C. CHIEF COUNSEL RESOLUTIONS

On April 4, 2006, the Board took the following action on the cases listed as presented by Elise Rose, Chief Counsel, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey - Aye

(1) ADALBERTO AYALA, CASE NO. 05-1300E

Appeal from discrimination

Classification: Motor Vehicle Field Representative

Department: Department of Motor Vehicles

ACTION: The Board issued a resolution denying appellant's

request to rescind settlement agreement.

(2) KARIN CHEN, CASE NO. 04-3055

Appeal from medical termination

Classification: Office Technician (Typing)

Department: Department of Transportation

ACTION: The Board issued a resolution remanding case to Chief ALJ with instructions to schedule matter for hearing on specified issues.

(3) BRYAN CARLSON, CASE NO. 04-2279

Appeal from demotion and transfer

Classification: Caltrans Maintenance Supervisor

Department: Department of Transportation

ACTION: The Board issued a resolution denying request for order to show cause in part and granting it in part, ordering Department of Transportation to immediately pay appellant all back salary,

benefits, and interest due, and to notify the Board by April 28, 2006 as to what steps it has taken to comply.

(4) CATHY KURTZ, CASE NO. 04-1465

Appeal from CEA termination

Classification: Career Executive Assignment II

Department: Board of Prison Terms

ACTION: The Board issued a resolution directing Department of Corrections to take all necessary steps to ascertain whether appellant has been paid all back salary awarded by Board, to immediately pay any back salary due, and to notify the Board by April 29, 2006 as to what steps it has taken to comply

April 28, 2006 as to what steps it has taken to comply.

COURT REMANDS

NONE

STIPULATIONS

This stipulation was submitted to the Board for approval, pursuant to Government Code, section 18681.

(1) ROBERT JOEHNCK, CASE NO. 05-1516

Classification: Staff Counsel III (Specialist)

Department: Department of Conservation

ACTION: The Board issued a decision approving stipulation for

settlement.

D. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S (ALJ) PROPOSED DECISIONS</u>

PROPOSED DECISIONS

These were ALJ's proposed decisions submitted to the Board for the first time.

On April 4, 2006, the Board took the following action on the cases listed as presented by Elise Rose, Chief Counsel, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey – Aye

(1) CHRISTINA ALMARAZ, CASE NO. 05-0789

Appeal from five percent reduction in salary for three calendar months

Classification: Satellite Wagering Facility Janitor **Department:** 22nd District Agricultural Association

ACTION: The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

revoking the reduction in salary.

(2) MARIA AMARO, CASE NO. 04-2121E

Appeal from denial of disability discrimination complaint **Classification:** Office Assistant (Typing)

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision dismissing the complaint.

(3) SALVADOR ANAYA, CASE NO. 03-1825E

Appeal from denial of reasonable accommodation

Classification: Caltrans Equipment Operator II

Department: Department of Transportation

ACTION: The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

(4) **DEWEY BREAUX, CASE NO. 05-1288**

Appeal from ten percent reduction in salary for twelve months **Classification:** Correctional Officer **Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

ACTION: The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision modifying the reduction in salary.

(5) DANNY BROWN, CASE NO. 05-2209

Appeal from dismissal

denying the appeal.

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board rejected the ALJ's Proposed Decision sustaining the dismissal.

(6) CLIFFORD CHIGBU, CASE NO. 05-1525

Appeal from five working days suspension

Classification: Disability Insurance Program Representative

Department: Employment Development Department

ACTION: The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

sustaining the suspension.

(7) ALFRED DEL PRATO, CASE NO. 05-1449

Appeal from demotion

Classification: Correctional Sergeant

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision sustaining the demotion.

Minutes – Page 14 April 4, 2006

(8) YAYA FANUSIE, CASE NO. 03-2134

Appeal from five days suspension

Classification: Associate Transportation Rate Expert Department: California Public Utilities Commission

ACTION: The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

sustaining the suspension.

(9) RAYMOND E. GURULE, CASE NO. 05-1351

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Youth Correctional Officer

Department: Department of the Youth Authority

ACTION: The Board rejected the ALJ's Proposed Decision

modifying the dismissal.

(10) JACQUES HIRSCHLER, CASE NO. 02-1276B

Appeal for back salary

Classification: Chief Medical Officer, Correctional Facility **Department:** Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision granting health insurance reimbursement.

(11) SHAYNE INABNIT, CASE NO. 05-0767

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision modifying the dismissal.

(12) TANYA KEYES, CASE NO. 05-0737

Appeal from demotion for six working months

Classification: Satellite Facility Lead Janitor

Department: 22nd District Agricultural Association

ACTION: The Board modified the ALJ's Proposed Decision

to no formal discipline.

(13) MICHAEL KING, CASE NO. 05-0738

Appeal from ten percent reduction in salary for six months **Classification:** Satellite Wagering Facility Janitor **Department:** 22nd District Agricultural Association **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision revoking the reduction in salary.

(14) **HECTOR MEZA, CASE NO. 05-1795**

Appeal from ten working days suspension

Classification: Officer

Department: Department of California Highway Patrol **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision sustaining the suspension.

Minutes – Page 15 April 4, 2006

(15) KENNY PENNYCOOK, CASE NO. 05-3766

Appeal from rejection during probationary period

Classification: Corrections Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

sustaining the rejection.

(16) EVA RIVERA, CASE NO. 05-2375E

Appeal from discriminatory denial of appointment

Classification: Senior Legal Typist

Department: State Compensation Insurance Fund

ACTION: The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

denying the discrimination complaint.

(17) DOREEN SCHMIDT, CASE NO. 05-0927

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Correctional Sergeant

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

revoking the dismissal.

(18) **KEITH THOMAS, CASE NO. 05-0159**

Appeal from suspension for 60 calendar days **Classification:** Parole Agent I (Adult Parole)

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **ACTION:** The Board adopted the ALJ's Proposed Decision

revoking the suspension.

PROPOSED DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER SUBMISSION AT PRIOR MEETING

NONE

PROPOSED DECISIONS AFTER BOARD REMAND

NONE

PROPOSED DECISIONS AFTER SPB ARBITRATION

NONE

E. <u>PETITIONS FOR REHEARING</u>

ALJ PROPOSED DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD

The Board voted to grant or deny a petition for rehearing filed by one or both parties, regarding a case already decided by the Board.

Minutes – Page 16 April 4, 2006

On April 4, 2006, the Board took the following action on the cases listed as presented by Elise Rose, Chief Counsel, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey – Aye

(1) MICHELLE AYRES, CASE NO. 05-2974P

Notice of Findings signed by the Executive Officer on

January 4, 2006

Classification: Psychiatric Technician Department: Department of Mental Health ACTION: Petition for rehearing denied.

(2) LEE KENDRICK, CASE NO. 04-1620P

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Transportation Surveyor Department: Department of Transportation ACTION: Petition for rehearing granted.

(3) GORDON SMITH, CASE NO. 05-2181P

Appeal from rejection during probationary period Classification: Caltrans Equipment Operator II Department: Department of Transportation ACTION: Petition for rehearing denied.

WHISTLEBLOWER NOTICE OF FINDINGS

NONE

F. PENDING BOARD REVIEW

These cases were pending preparation of transcripts, briefs, or the setting of oral argument before the Board.

On April 4, 2006, the Board took the following action on the cases listed as presented by Elise Rose, Chief Counsel, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey - Aye

(1) TROY ALLEN, CASE NO. 05-2150A

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Caltrans Equipment Operator II **Department**: Department of Transportation

Proposed decision rejected February 7, 2006 Pending oral argument May 2, 2006, Los Angeles **NO ACTION**

(2) PRECILLA CALAUNAN, CASE NO. 05-1737RPA

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Psychiatric Technician Assistant

Department: Department of Developmental Services

Petition for Rehearing granted March 8, 2006. Pending transcript

NO ACTION

(3) ALEJANDRO GILL, CASE NO. 05-0054RA

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Proposed decision rejected January 6, 2006 Pending oral argument May 2, 2006, Los Angeles **NO ACTION**

(4) JUDY JOHNSON, CASE NO. 05-1367A

Appeal from automatic resignation

Classification: Motor Vehicle Field Representative

Department: Department of Motor Vehicles

Proposed decision rejected February 21, 2006 Pending Transcript

NO ACTION

(5) RICK OCHOA, CASE NO. 04-2373B

Appeal for determination of back salary, benefits and interest

Classification: Youth Correctional Officer

Department: Department of the Youth Authority

Proposed decision rejected January 24, 2006

Oral argument heard April 4, 2006

ACTION: SUBMITTED

(6) EDUARDO PEREZ, CASE NO. 05-0763A

Appeal from five percent reduction in salary for six months **Classification**: Parole Agent I (Adult Parole)

Department: Department of Corrections

Proposed decision rejected November 1, 2005 Oral argument originally set February 7, 2006, Los Angeles Oral argument continued to May 2, 2006, Los Angeles **NO ACTION** Minutes – Page 18 April 4, 2006

(7) RAYMOND SLEDGE, CASE NO. 04-2809PA

Appeal from dismissal

Classification: Youth Correctional Counselor **Department:** Department of the Youth Authority

Petition for Rehearing granted March 8, 2006

Pending transcript

NO ACTION

(8) JAMES STEED, CASE NO. 05-0207PA

Appeal from constructive medical suspension

Classification: Facility Captain

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Petition for Rehearing granted March 8, 2006

Pending transcript

NO ACTION

20. NON-EVIDENTIARY CASES

A. WITHHOLD APPEALS

Cases heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board or investigated by Appeals Division staff.

WITHHOLD FROM CERTIFICATION CASES HEARD BY A STAFF HEARING OFFICER

NONE

WITHHOLD FROM CERTIFICATION CASES NOT HEARD BY A STAFF HEARING OFFICER

On April 4, 2006, the Board adopted as indicated below the following items presented by Laura M. Aguilera, Assistant Executive Officer, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey – Aye

(1) GERALD MARFIL, CASE NO. 04-1012

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **Issue:** Whether appellant was properly withheld for not meeting

the Minimum Qualifications.

ACTION: DENIED

(2) CARLOS MARTINEZ, CASE NO. 05-0415

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Suitability; furnished inaccurate information and a negative

employment record. **ACTION: GRANTED**

(3) ALFONSO MERCADO, CASE NO. 05-0042

Classification: Casework Specialist

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation **Issue:** Whether appellant was properly withheld for not meeting

the Minimum Qualifications.

ACTION: DENIED

(4) TREASURE NEWTON, CASE NO. 05-0717

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Suitability and illegal drug activity.

ACTION: DENIED

(5) JORGE PACHECO, CASE NO. 05-0798

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Suitability and illegal drug activity.

ACTION: DENIED

(6) ROBERT POST, CASE NO. 05-0278

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Suitability for peace officer position due to furnishing inaccurate information and omitting pertinent

information during the selection process.

ACTION: DENIED

(7) DOMINICK QUIROZ, CASE NO. 05-1148

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Suitability and omitting pertinent information.

ACTION: DENIED

(8) WHITNEY WADE, CASE NO. 05-0217

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Failed to provide additional information and documentation to complete background investigation.

ACTION: DENIED

B. MEDICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL SCREENING APPEALS

Cases were heard by a Staff Hearing Panel comprised of a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board and a medical professional. The Board will be presented recommendations by a Hearing Panel on each appeal.

On April 4, 2006, the Board adopted as indicated below the following items presented by Laura M. Aguilera, Assistant Executive Officer, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey – Aye

(1) MARCOS HERNANDEZ, CASE NO. 05-0964

Classification: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Issue: Appellant psychologically disqualified from

employment as a Correctional Officer.

ACTION: DENIED

C. EXAMINATION APPEALS MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS MERIT ISSUE COMPLAINTS

NONE

D. RULE 211 APPEALS RULE 212 OUT OF CLASS APPEALS VOIDED APPOINTMENT APPEALS

Cases were heard by a Staff Hearing Officer, or a managerial staff member of the State Personnel Board. The Board was presented recommendations by a Staff Hearing Officer for final decision on each appeal.

On April 4, 2006, the Board adopted as indicated below the following items presented by Laura M. Aguilera, Assistant Executive Officer, California State Personnel Board.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey – Aye

RULE 211 APPEALS

(1) RICHARD DAVIS, CASE NO. 05-1998

Classification: Psychiatric Technician Department: State Personnel Board

Issue: Pursuant to Rule 211, the appellant is requesting approval from the SPB's Executive Officer to take a state examination after

having been dismissed from state service.

ACTION: DENIED

Minutes – Page 21 April 4, 2006

(2) ALVIN HALE, CASE NO. 05-0771

Classification: Office Technician (T) LEAP

Department: State Personnel Board

Issue: Pursuant to Rule 211, the appellant is requesting approval from the SPB's Executive Officer to take a state examination after

having been dismissed from state service.

ACTION: GRANTED

RULE 212 OUT OF CLASS APPEALS

NONE

VOIDED APPOINTMENT APPEALS

NONE

E. REQUEST TO FILE CHARGES CASES

NONE

PETITIONS FOR REHEARING CASES

NONE

SUBMITTED

1. TEACHER STATE HOSPITAL (SEVERELY), ETC.

Departments of Mental Health and Developmental Services. (Hearing held December 3, 2002.)

NO ACTION

2. VOCATIONAL INSTRUCTOR (SAFETY)(VARIOUS SPECIALTIES)

Departments of Mental Health and Developmental Services. (Hearing held December 3, 2002.)

NO ACTION

3. TELEVISION SPECIALIST (SAFETY)

The Department of Corrections proposes to establish the new classification Television Specialist (Safety) by using the existing Television Specialist class specification and adding "Safety" as a parenthetical to recognize the public aspect of their job, additional language will be added to the Typical Tasks section of the class specification and a Special Physical Characteristics section will be added. (Presented to Board March 4, 2003.)

NO ACTION

4. HEARING – Personal Services Contract #04-03

Appeal of the California State Employees Association from the Executive Officer's April 15, 2004, Approval of Master Contracts between the California Department of Corrections and Staffing Solutions, CliniStaff, Inc., Staff USA, Inc., CareerStaff Unlimited, MSI International, Inc., Access Medical Staffing & Service, Drug Consultants, Infinity Quality Services Corporation, Licensed Medical Staffing, Inc., Morgan Management Services, Inc., Asereth Medical Services, and PrideStaff dba Rx Relief. (Hearing held August 12, 2004.)

NO ACTION

5. HEARING

Proposed new and revised State Personnel Board Regulations effecting equal opportunity, discrimination complaints and reasonable accommodation policies and procedures. (Hearing held July 7, 2004.)

NO ACTION

6. RONALD FRANKLYN, CASE NO. 05-2105A

Appeal from 20 working days suspension. Officer. California Highway Patrol. (Oral argument held March 8, 2006.)

ACTION: The Board issued a non-precedential decision modifying 20 working days' suspension to five working days' suspension and awarding back pay, benefits, and interest.

7. ERNEST PITTMAN, CASE NO. 05-1591A

Appeal from dismissal. Motor Vehicle Field Representative. Department of Motor Vehicles. (Oral argument held March 8, 2006.) **NO ACTION**

8. RICHARD QUADRELLI, CASE NO. 05-1039A

Appeal from dismissal. Caltrans Maintenance Supervisor. Department of Transportation. (Oral argument held March 8, 2006.)

NO ACTION

9. **RICK OCHOA, CASE NO. 04-2373B**

Appeal for determination of back salary, benefits and interest. Youth Correctional Officer. Department of the Youth Authority. (Oral argument held April 4, 2006.) **NO ACTION**

NOTICE OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 RESOLUTION

Since Government Code section 18671.1 requires that cases pending before State

Personnel Board Administrative Law Judges (ALJ's) be completed within six months or no
later than 90 days after submission of a case, whichever is first, absent the publication of
substantial reasons for needing an additional 45 days, the Board hereby publishes its
substantial reasons for the need for the 45-day extension for some of the cases now
pending before it for decision.

An additional 45 days may be required in cases that require multiple days of hearings, that have been delayed by unusual circumstances, or that involve any delay generated by either party (including, but not limited to, submission of written briefs, requests for settlement conferences, continuances, discovery disputes, pre-hearing motions). In such cases, six months may be inadequate for the ALJ to hear the entire case, prepare a proposed decision containing the detailed factual and legal analysis required by law, and for the State Personnel Board to review the decision and adopt, modify or reject the proposed decision within the time limitations of the statute.

Therefore, at its next meeting, the Board will issue the attached resolution extending the time limitation by 45 days for all cases that meet the above criteria, and that have been before the Board for less than six months as of the date of the Board meeting.

GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 18671.1 RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Section 18671.1 provides that, absent waiver by the appellant, the time period in which the Board must render its decision on a petition pending before it shall not exceed six months from the date the petition was filed or 90 days from the date of submission; and

WHEREAS, Section 18671.1 also provides for an extension of the time limitations by 45 additional days if the Board publishes substantial reasons for the need for the extension in its calendar prior to the conclusion of the six-month period; and

WHEREAS, the Agenda for the instant Board meeting included an item titled "Notice of Government Code section 18671.1 Resolution" which sets forth substantial reasons for utilizing that 45-day extension to extend the time to decide particular cases pending before the Board;

WHEREAS, there are currently pending before the Board cases that have required multiple days of hearing and/or that have been delayed by unusual circumstances or by acts or omissions of the parties themselves;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the time limitations set forth in Government Code section 18671.1 are hereby extended an additional 45 days for all cases that have required multiple days of hearing or that have been delayed by acts or omissions of the parties or by unusual circumstances and that have been pending before the Board for less than six months as of the date this resolution is adopted.

* * * * *

Minutes – Page 26 April 4, 2006

I hereby certify that the State Personnel Board adopted the preceding resolution at its meeting held on April 4, 2006.

VOTE: Elkins, Harrigan, Tom, Sheehan, Clarey - Aye

Floyd Shimomura Executive Officer

California State Personnel Board

Floyd D. Shimi